



Indo - Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IAJMR)
ISSN: 2454-1370

**STUDIES ON THE NURSERY NUTRIENT MANGEMENT ON GROWTH
AND YIELD OF RICE cv. CO 43 AND ADT 36**

S. Babu*

Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract

Field investigation was carried out for the productivity enhancement of green gram through soil and foliar application of nutrients at Experimental farm, Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University. The field experiment was conducted during August–October, 2013. The experiment was laid in split plot design and replicate thrice with different nutrient levels viz., M₁–Control (no fertilizer application), M₂–RDF (25:50:25 kg NPK ha⁻¹), M₃–Soil application of 85% concentrated Humic acid + fulvic acid and 15% inert ingredients, M₄–RDF+ Soil application of 85% concentrated Humic acid + fulvic acid and 15% inert ingredients, as the main plot treatments and foliar spray as the sub plot treatments viz., S₁–Control (no foliar application), S₂–2% DAP application, S₃–foliar application of 85% concentrated Humic acid and fulvic acid +12% Chelated zinc and 3% other traces and inert material, S₄–Seaweed extract application, S₅–Nitrobenzene application and S₆–Pulse wonder application on 25th and 45th DAS were allocated. The result of the main field experiment showed that M₄S₃ excelled all other treatments by recording higher growth and yield attributes, yield, nutrient uptake and post-harvest available nutrient status during the cropping period. It also recorded enhanced monetary benefit in terms of net income and return rupee⁻¹ invested.

Key words: RDF, NPK, Humic acid, Fulvic acid, pulse wonder, Seaweed extract, Nitrobenzene.

1. Introduction

Rice is the most important and extensively grown food crop in India and it is the staple food for more than half of the world's population. The average annual production of rice in India is only 2.8 t ha⁻¹ which is far lower than the yield of rice obtained in countries like Japan, China and Korea.

The yield gap could be attributed to various reasons viz., inadequate plant population, non-judicious application of fertilizers and pesticides and poor water management practices. Producing vigorous seedlings by proper manorial management at nursery and sustaining good vigour and stand of the crop at main field by

rational integrated nutrient management will greatly help in increasing the rice production.

As regards crop vigour, better manuring practices that supplement the crop nourishment commencing rice from nursery up to main field attain prime importance. Seedling vigour of crop has been shown to improve due to seed coating with biofertilizers, growth regulators and chemical nutrients.

Use of biofertilizers such as Azospirillum and Phosphobacteria is gaining momentum, in the context of prohibitive cost of N and P fertilizers. Fixing nitrogen by Azospirillum was well documented. Besides, it has been shown to produce growth promoting substances which may increase seed germination and improve the initial vigour of plants, which ultimately contribute to

*Corresponding author: **Dr. S. Babu**

Received: 28.11.2015; Revised: 10.12.2015;

Accepted: 27.12.2015.



increased yield (Subba Rao *et al.*, 1980). Phosphobacteria mobilize the unavailable form of phosphorus into available form (Kandaswamy and Anthoni Raj, 1995). Seed hardening with two per cent KH_2PO_4 has been reported to increase the germination percentage, seedling vigour, grain yield and also benefit: cost ratio (Jose Mathew and Sankaran, 1991). Bio-chemicals have contributed a great deal, to the progress of agricultural sciences. The growth and yield of many crop plants could be influenced by applying small amount of these chemicals to crops, as either seed treatment or soil application. Taking these aspects into consideration, a field investigation was taken up.

2. Materials and Methods

Investigations were undertaken to study the effect of seed soaking with nutrients, bio-chemicals and bio-fertilizers in conjunction with three levels of fertilizers on the growth and yield of paddy cv. CO 43 and ADT 36 raised in 'samba' (August - January) 1997-98 and 'kuruvai' 1998 (May to September) respectively at the Experimental Farm, Department of Agronomy, Annamalai University. There were eight seed treatments viz., (S₁) seed soaking with KH_2PO_4 (2 %), (S₂) seed soaking with KH_2PO_4 (2 %) + Penshibao (0.01 %), (S₃) seed soaking with KH_2PO_4 (2%) + Penshibao (0.01%) + *Azospirillum* (600 g/ha), (S₄) seed soaking with KH_2PO_4 (2 %) + Penshibao (0.01 %) + phosphobacteria (600 g/ha), (S₅) seed soaking with KH_2PO_4 (2 %) + Penshibao (0.01 %) + *Azospirillum* (600 g/ha) + phosphobacteria (600 g/ha), (S₆) seed soaking with KH_2PO_4 (2 %) + *Azospirillum* (600 g/ha). (S₇) seed soaking with KH_2PO_4 (2%) + *Azospirillum* (600 g/ha) + Phosphobacteria (600 g/ha) and, (S₈) control (no soaking). The treatments were replicated thrice adopting Randomized block design. In the main field, three levels of NPK fertilizers viz., M₁(120: 38: 38 N, P₂O₅ and K₂O kg ha⁻¹ -recommended schedule), M₂ (75% N: 100% P₂O₅: 100% K₂O of recommended schedule) and M₃ (75% N: 75% P₂O₅: 100% K₂O of recommended schedule) were tested as main plot treatments and nursery

treatments numbering eight were superimposed as sub-plot treatments, all replicated thrice adopting split plot-design.

3. Results and Discussion

Nursery studies (Table 1)

In both the seasons, the treatment (S₅) seed soaking with potassium dihydrogen phosphate (2%) + Penshibao (0.01%) + *Azospirillum* (600g/ha) + phosphobacteria (600g/ha) markedly influenced the germination percentage, shoot and root lengths, root volume, biomass and vigour index. Synergistic and cumulative action of KH_2PO_4 , biofertilizers viz., *Azospirillum* and Phosphobacteria and micronutrient rich Penshibao might have constituted to favourable seedling characteristics including vigour index. Similar result with organics and inorganics and biofertilizers were reported by Jayabal and Kuppaswamy (1998).

Main field studies (Table 2)

All the treatments significantly influenced the grain yield. Among the three levels of NPK tested as main treatments, M₁ (recommended fertilizer level - 120: 38: 38 N, P₂O₅ and K₂O kg ha⁻¹) produced the highest grain yield. The least was observed with 75 per cent each of N and P₂O₅ and 100 per cent K₂O of recommended manurial schedule along with no seed soaking (M₃S₈). The higher manurial dose probably gave better nourishment to rice resulting in higher panicle number and filled grain percentage. In addition to that, enhanced availability of Nitrogen due to fixation of N by *Azospirillum*, increased availability of phosphorus due to the effect of KH_2PO_4 , solubilization of soil P by phosphobacteria and increased availability of micronutrients such zinc, boron etc. due to inclusion of Penshibao, could have been responsible for the production of vigorous seedlings at nursery. Proper nutritional environment given to nursery could be expected to have its positive effect on the nutrophysiological process of the transplanted rice seedlings leading to the production of favourable yield components.



The results of the present study are in line with the reports of Jeyabal and Kuppaswamy (1998).

Economics (Table 3)

The recommended manurial schedule along with seed soaking in KH_2PO_4 + Penshibao + *Azospirillum* + Phosphobacteria (M_1S_5) registered the highest net return of Rs. 17,682 and Rs. 16,374 ha^{-1} and highest return per rupee invested of Rs. 2.43 and Rs. 2.32 in 'samba' and 'kuruwai' season respectively. Treatment M_2S_5 (75% N, 100% P_2O_5 and 100% K_2O of recommended schedule along with seed soaking of KH_2PO_4 + Penshibao + *Azospirillum* + Phosphobacteria) was found to be the next best in terms of net return and return per rupee invested.

From the results, it is evident that though M_1S_5 gave the highest net return ha^{-1} and return per rupee invested, treatment combination of M_2S_5 was found cost effective in view of saving of 25 per cent of N-fertilizer.



Table - 1: Seedling characters of Rice

Treatment	Germination percentage		Shoot length (cm) on 21 DAS		Root length (cm) on 21 DAS		Root volume (cc) on 21 DAS		Biomass (g) on 21 DAS		Vigour index on 21 DAS (Biomass)	
	Samba	Kuruvai	Samba	Kuruvai	Samba	Kuruvai	Samba	Kuruvai	Samba	Kuruvai	Samba	Kuruvai
S1 (KH ₂ PO ₄)	87.64 (69.41)	85.32 (67.47)	30.10	30.45	15.10	14.21	1.12	1.12	6.90	7.20	605	614
S2 (KH ₂ PO ₄ + Penshibao)	88.92 (70.55)	86.72 (68.62)	31.12	32.45	15.84	15.12	1.25	1.29	7.16	7.46	637	647
S3 (KH ₂ PO ₄ + Penshibao + Azos)	91.84 (73.40)	90.12 (71.67)	33.62	34.79	17.86	17.12	1.64	1.76	8.00	8.21	735	739
S4 (KH ₂ PO ₄ + Penshibao + Phospho)	90.86 (72.40)	88.96 (70.59)	32.70	33.90	17.11	16.41	1.53	1.60	7.72	7.96	701	708
S5 (KH ₂ PO ₄ + Penshibao + Azos + Phospho)	92.77 (74.40)	91.36 (72.90)	34.65	35.68	18.64	17.98	1.80	1.92	8.25	8.52	765	778
S6 (KH ₂ PO ₄ + Azos)	89.21 (70.82)	87.21 (69.04)	31.48	32.84	16.03	15.43	1.34	1.39	7.28	7.59	649	662
S7 (KH ₂ PO ₄ + Azos + Phospho)	90.43 (71.97)	88.44 (70.12)	32.40	33.72	16.84	16.22	1.48	1.54	7.54	7.84	682	693
S8 (No soaking)	85.21 (67.38)	84.16 (66.90)	28.40	29.12	14.21	13.54	1.00	0.96	6.61	6.96	563	586
Mean	89.61 (71.19)	87.78 (69.54)	31.80	32.86	16.45	15.75	1.39	1.44	7.43	7.71	667.13	678.38
S. Ed.	0.36	0.37	0.36	0.35	0.32	0.30	0.05	0.07	0.11	0.09	14.33	11.01
C.D. (p = 0.05)	0.71	0.74	0.72	0.69	0.64	0.59	0.11	0.13	0.22	0.19	28.65	22.01

Figures in parentheses are angular transformed

Table -2: Grain Yield (Kg ha⁻¹)

Sub-treatment	Main Treatment (Samba)				Main Treatment (Kuruvai)			
	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	Mean	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	Mean
S ₁	4573	4471	4344	4462.67	4515	4406	4263	4394.67
S ₂	4796	4692	4563	4683.67	4728	4585	4443	4585.33
S ₃	5530	5417	5301	5416.00	5330	5219	5106	5218.33
S ₄	5324	5200	5046	5190.00	5159	5044	4909	5037.33
S ₅	5790	5624	5501	5638.33	5560	5388	5251	5399.67
S ₆	4925	4823	4698	4815.33	4837	4723	4587	4715.67
S ₇	5138	5036	4900	5024.66	5098	4897	4761	4918.67
S ₈	4345	4244	4118	4235.66	4322	4208	4073	4201.00
Mean	5055.50	4938.38	4808.88		4943.63	4808.75	4674.13	
S. Ed.	Main	Sub	MxS	SxM	Main	Sub	MxS	SxM
	54.53	99.98	197.25	185.35	60.19	75.25	146.44	144.64
CD (p=0.05)	109.06	199.95	392.50	370.71	120.13	150.50	292.88	289.27



Table -3: Economic Analysis

Sub-treatment	Samba		Kuruvai	
	Net return (Rs ha ⁻¹)	Return per rupee invested	Net return Rs ha ⁻¹	Return per rupee invested
M ₁ S ₁	11876	1.99	11483	1.95
M ₁ S ₂	12866	2.06	12399	2.02
M ₁ S ₃	16360	2.32	15266	2.23
M ₁ S ₄	15310	2.24	14416	2.17
M ₁ S ₅	17682	2.43	16374	2.32
M ₁ S ₆	13392	2.09	12865	2.05
M ₁ S ₇	14486	2.18	14137	2.15
M ₁ S ₈	10677	1.89	16526	1.88
M ₂ S ₁	11584	1.99	11226	1.96
M ₂ S ₂	12601	2.06	11995	2.01
M ₂ S ₃	16051	2.33	14994	2.24
M ₂ S ₄	14942	2.24	14131	2.17
M ₂ S ₅	17106	2.41	15833	2.31
M ₂ S ₆	13105	2.09	12588	2.05
M ₂ S ₇	14197	2.19	13466	2.12
M ₂ S ₈	10374	1.89	10245	1.87
M ₃ S ₁	11384	2.01	11003	1.98
M ₃ S ₂	12347	2.08	11776	2.04
M ₃ S ₃	15643	2.32	14607	2.23
M ₃ S ₄	14341	2.21	13646	2.15
M ₃ S ₅	16652	2.40	15338	2.30
M ₃ S ₆	12920	2.13	12396	2.08
M ₃ S ₇	13954	2.22	13275	2.16
M ₃ S ₈	10159	1.91	10057	1.99

Cost of Grain = Rs 4.50 kg⁻¹, cost of straw = Rs. 0.50 kg⁻¹.

4. References

- 1) Jeyabal, A and G. Kuppaswamy. 1998. Effect of Seed soaking on seedling vigour, growth and yield of rice. J. Agron.Crop Sci., 180(3): 181-190.
- 2) Josemathew and S. Sankaran. 1991. Effect of moisture Stress during the early vegetative stage of dry seeded banded rice and its management. Oryza, 28 :193 - 196.
- 3) Kandasamy, O.S. and S. P. Palaniappan. 1990. Rate and time of N application for direct seeded irrigated rice. IRRN, 15(3): 24.
- 4) Kandaswamy. 1996. Studies on the effect of varying plant population, graded levels of NPK fertilizers and seed coating on rice cv. ADT 36. M.Sc. (Ag). Thesis, Annamalai Univ., Annamalainagar, Tamilnadu
- 5) Subba Rao, N.S., K.V.B.R. Tilak and C.S. Singh. 1980. Root nodulation studies of *Aeschynomene aspera*. Plant and Soil, 56: 491-494.

